

REVIEW OF ARTICLES SUBMITTED TO ACCIÓN MOTRIZ JOURNAL

Model for empirical studies

Article title:

Reviewer's name:

E-mail:

Institution:

Speciality:

Instructions for filling in the form:

- Please indicate the data required.
- Indicate one of the answer options for each question.
- Indicate one of the options for the result.
- If appropriate, make suggestions to the author of the article and the journal editor.
- Finally, include your full name and the date of the review, and resend this review in this same format (word: .doc, .docx) to the same email address that originally requested the review.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

RELEVANCE SECTION:

1.- Is this work of sufficient interest to the field of physical activity and sport to be disseminated?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

2.- Does the work present a sufficient degree of originality? Is it

innovative? ☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little

☐ Nothing

3.- Can it be applied to different areas of practice/intervention?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

4.- In short, is its level of relevance high?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

FORMAL ASPECTS SECTION:

1.- Does the work show a correct style of expression?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

2.- Are the concepts used appropriate to the development of the exhibition?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

3.- Are there enough graphs, illustrations, diagrams... to make the exhibition understandable?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

4.- Does it follow a logical order of exposition (approach to the topic, methodological details, results, conclusions)?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

5.- Do you present citations, references and bibliography appropriately?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐

Nothing

6.- In short, does it sufficiently comply with the basic formal aspects?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

CONTENT SECTION:

1.- Are the objectives/hypotheses described precisely and clearly?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

2.- Is the rationale for the objectives/hypotheses documented?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

3.- Is the methodology followed adequate to achieve the objectives/hypotheses?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

4.- Are the results and their comments sufficient and adequate to specify the conclusions drawn from the objectives/hypotheses?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

5.- Is the summary complete (objectives/hypotheses, methodological details, results, conclusions)?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

6.- In short, is the content appropriate?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☒ Nothing

METHODOLOGY SECTION:

1.- Are the population, sample and groupings accurately detailed and justified?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

2.- Are the variables to be studied precisely defined and are the variables sufficiently operationalised?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

3.- Are the procedures for recording and monitoring variables described precisely?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

4.- Are the statistical procedures used sufficiently detailed and justified?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

5.- In short, is the methodology of the study properly and accurately applied?

☐ Completely ☐ Quite ☐ Little ☐ Nothing

FINAL RESULT:

☐ Yes publishable ☐ Publishable with corrections ☐ No publishable

SUGGESTIONS FOR AUTHORS:

SUGGESTIONS FOR EDITOR:

Name:

Date: